

## **Tables of Findings for:**

### **COMPETING COMMITMENTS in CLINICAL TRIALS**

Charles W. Lidz PhD, Research Professor of Psychiatry  
Center for Mental Health Services Research, UMass Medical School

Paul S. Appelbaum M.D. Professor of Psychiatry, Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons and NY State Psychiatric Institute

Steven Joffe M.D., M.P.H., Assistant Professor of Pediatrics  
Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard University Medical School

Karen Albert M.A. Project Coordinator  
Center for Mental Health Services Research, UMass Medical School

Jill Rosenbaum B.A. Graduate Student  
Department of Psychology, University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa

Lorna Simon M.A. Biostatistician  
Center for Mental Health Services Research, UMass Medical School

Published in  
IRB: Ethics and Human Research, 31, 5, 2009

**Table 1: Respondent Characteristics**

<b>Characteristic</b>	<b>Number*</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Gender</b>		
Female	581	78.8%
Male	156	21.2%
<b>Age</b>		
<30	56	7.6%
30-39	206	27.8%
40-49	246	33.2%
50-59	193	26.1%
60+	39	5.3%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>		
White	648	88.1%
Black	21	2.9%
Asian	45	6.1%
Other	22	3.0%
<b>Training</b>		
Physicians	147	19.8%
Other Doctoral	23	3.1%
Nursing	337	44.5%
Other Masters	105	14.2%
Others	129	17.8%
<b># of CTs in last 2 years</b>		
1 to 6	71	9.6%
		9.6%
7 to 10	140	18.9%
11 to 15	183	24.7%
16 to 20	127	17.1%
21+	220	29.7%

\*Numbers may not sum to 741 due to missing responses to individual questions

**Table 2: Study Roles Played by Survey Respondents**

	Always		Frequently		Occasionally		Rarely		Never	
	MDs	Others	MDs	Others	MDs	Others	MDs	Others	MDs	Others
Participate in the design of the trial N=733 p<.0001	14 9.8%	9 1.5%	34 23.8%	23 3.9%	25 17.5%	65 11.0%	24 16.8%	108 18.3%	46 32.2%	385 65.3%
Refer patients N=728 P<.05	41 29.1%	94 16.0%	30 21.3%	137 23.3%	32 22.7%	169 28.8%	14 9.9%	73 12.4%	24 17.0%	114 19.4%
Decide which study to offer to patients N=735 p<.001	60 41.4%	142 24.1%	48 33.1%	214 36.3%	20 13.8%	131 22.2%	7 4.8%	42 7.1%	10 6.9%	61 10.3%
Participate in the consent process N=732 P<.0001	76 52.4%	418 71.2%	45 31.0%	111 18.9%	18 12.4%	28 4.8%	2 1.4%	13 2.2%	4 2.8%	17 2.9%
Manage clinical care of subjects N= 735 P<.05	77 53.55	295 49.9%	40 27.8%	126 21.3%	15 10.4%	76 12.9%	5 3.5%	18 3.1%	7 4.9%	76 12.9%
Collect data N=737 P<.0001	72 50.0%	471 79.4%	43 29.9%	79 13.3%	12 8.3%	23 3.9%	7 4.9%	6 1.0%	7 4.9%	76 12.9%
Analyze data N=729p<.0001	27 19.0%	60 10.2%	30 21.1%	38 6.5%	24 16.9%	104 17.7%	21 14.8%	114 19.4%	40 28.2%	271 46.2%
Participate in drafting manuscripts N =732 P<.0001	21 14.8%	16 2.7%	27 19.0%	24 4.1%	23 16.2%	52 8.8%	24 16.9%	92 15.6%	47 33.1%	406 68.8%

**Table 3: Attitudes toward Clinical Trials**

Question	Agree		Mostly Agree		Uncertain/ Neutral		Mostly Disagree		Disagree	
	M.D.s	Others	M.D.s	Others	M.D.s	Others	M.D.s	Others	M.D.s	Others
Research centers should choose which trials to participate in based on how much the trials contribute to science. N=711, p<.0001	7 4.9%	46 8.1%	6 4.2%	42 7.4%	12 8.5%	121 21.3%	67 41.2%	259 45.5%	50 35.2%	101 17.8%
Researchers should only participate in trials that are likely to help the subjects who take part. N =728, p<.005	27 18.8%	88 15.1%	39 27.1%	86 14.7%	17 11.8%	100 17.1%	39 27.1%	176 30.1%	22 15.3%	134 23.0%
Even if patients are technically eligible for a trial, they should only be recruited if being in the trial will be in their best medical interests. N=719, n.s.	14 9.6%	39 6.7%	23 15.9%	64 11.1%	18 12.4%	74 12.8%	46 31.7%	160 27.6%	44 30.3%	242 41.8%
Patients who are not doing well with standard care should be recruited most actively so that being in the trial can help them. N=719, n.s.	28 19.4%	104 18.1%	25 17.4%	83 14.4%	31 21.5%	161 28.0%	44 30.6%	171 29.7%	16 11.1%	56 9.7%
When several subjects at a site do considerably worse than would be expected in ordinary care, that site should stop recruiting for that study. N =719, n.s.	24 16.4%	86 15.0%	24 16.4%	102 17.8%	33 22.6%	140 24.4%	31 21.2%	157 27.4%	34 23.4%	88 15.4%

Researchers should deviate from the protocol if it would improve the subject's medical care. N=710, n.s.	61 42.7%	254 44.8%	28 19.6%	112 19.6%	18 12.6%	89 15.7%	22 15.4%	77 13.6%	14 9.8%	35 6.2%
The protocol should be used as a guideline rather than something to be strictly followed under all circumstances. N=725, n.s.	83 57.2%	345 59.5%	38 26.2%	135 23.3%	6 4.1%	31 5.3%	12 8.3%	53 9.1%	6 4.1%	16 2.8%
It is okay to ignore minor entry criteria if a patient will benefit from being in the trial. N=719, p<.05	97 66.9%	435 75.7%	22 15.2%	90 15.5%	15 10.3%	29 5.0%	9 6.2%	17 2.9%	2 1.4%	5 0.9%

**Table 4: Clinical Behavior in Clinical Trials  
“How many times in the past two years....”**

<b>Question</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1-2</b>	<b>3-5</b>	<b>6-10</b>	<b>11-15</b>	<b>16+</b>	<b>DK/N A</b>
Have you had a patient who was eligible for a clinical trial, but being in the trial seemed <i>not</i> to be in the patient's best medical interests? N=671	15.7%	23.7%	25.3%	11.8%	2.8%	6.3%	14.5%
Was the trial not offered to the patient? N = 665	19.4%	23.9%	15.5%	7.7%	3.0%	4.4%	26.2%
Have you had a patient who was <i>not</i> technically eligible for a clinical trial, but being in the trial seemed to be in the patient's best medical	19.3%	17.2%	17.7%	15.9%	4.8%	13.0%	12.0%

interests? N=667							
Was the trial offered to the patient? N=660	62.4%	15.0%	3.8%	1.4%	1.1%	0.2%	16.2%
Was a medication restricted by protocol, but giving the medication seemed to be in the subject's best medical interests? N=670	26.1%	16.4%	15.8%	10.3%	3.0%	6.9%	21.5%
Was the medication given? N = 664	44.7%	17.8%	5.0%	2.9%	1.5%	0.9%	27.3%
When adjusting the dose of the medication seemed to be in the subject's best medical interests, but making the adjustment was not permitted by the protocol? N= 670	33.7%	17.2%	16.0%	7.3%	2.4%	5.1%	18.4%
Was the dose of the study medication adjusted? N=661	59.3%	9.6%	3.8%	1.4%	1.1%	0.2%	(24.8 %
When breaking a blind without reporting it seemed to be in the patient's best medical interests? N=672	75.6%	8.2%	1.9%	0.3%	0.2%	0.6%	13.2%
Have you decided to break the blind without reporting it? N=657	76.0%	0.9%	0.2%				23.0%
Have you had a subject who met termination criteria, but remaining in the trial seemed to be in the subject's best medical interests? N = 668	50.0%	18.6%	9.9%	4.0%	1.1%	2.7%	13.8%
Was the subject kept in trial? N=655	69.0%	6.7%	1.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	22.1%